

YOUTH POLITICAL PARTICIPATION IN DEVELOPING DEMOCRACIES: SOCIAL MEDIA, MOBILIZATION, AND POLICY INFLUENCE

¹Dr. Azmat Ali Shah, ²Fareed Ullah, ³Umar Khan

¹Department Of Political Science Gomal University DI.Khan

²Department Of Political Science Qurtuba University Of Science& Information Technology
DI.Khan

³Department Of Management Sciences Qurtuba University Of Science& Information
Technology DI.Khan

dr.azmatalishah@gu.edu.pk faridullah.ktk2025@gmail.com

muhammadumar9218@gmail.com

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18239515>

Keywords:

Youth Politics, Social Media,
Developing Democracies,
Political Mobilization, Digital
Activism, Policy Influence,
Democratic Participation

Article History

Received on 12 Dec, 2025

Accepted on 12 Jan, 2025

Published on 13 Jan, 2025

Copyright @Author

Corresponding Author: *

Abstract

Youth political participation has emerged as a defining force in developing democracies, where expanding digital access and rapid technological change are reshaping traditional modes of political engagement. This paper examines the evolving nature of youth involvement through the lenses of social media influence, grassroots mobilization, and policy impact across states such as Pakistan, India, Nigeria, and Indonesia from 2010 to 2024. It highlights how platforms like Facebook, Twitter, TikTok, and WhatsApp have transformed youth from passive political observers into active political stakeholders capable of shaping narratives, influencing elections, and challenging authoritarian tendencies. The analysis shows that youth-driven digital activism has strengthened democratic participation by increasing political awareness, building counter-narratives, and facilitating leader-citizen interaction. However, challenges such as misinformation, state surveillance, online polarization, and digital divides limit long-term policy influence. The paper argues that sustainable youth political empowerment requires institutional reforms, inclusive political structures, and digital literacy programs. It concludes by offering strategic recommendations for governments, civil society, and international organizations to enhance youth political integration and democratic development.

Introduction

Youth political participation in developing democracies has dramatically transformed since the early 2010s, particularly across Asia, Africa, and Latin America, where nearly 60% of the population is under the age of 30, making young citizens a decisive force in political change. Salam, Z., Jamil, M., & Muzaffar, M. (2024). Beginning with the Arab Spring uprisings of 2011 in Tunisia, Egypt, Bahrain, and Yemen, young activists used Facebook pages like “We Are All Khaled Said” in Cairo to mobilize massive street protests in Tahrir Square on 25 January 2011, setting a global precedent for digital-driven political engagement. In India, the 2012 Delhi Nirbhaya protests, centered around India Gate and Jantar Mantar, marked a watershed moment when youth mobilized through Twitter and SMS campaigns to demand criminal law reforms. Similarly, in Pakistan, youth participation surged during the 2014 PTI sit-in (Dharna) in Islamabad’s D-Chowk, where thousands of young supporters coordinated logistics through Facebook groups and WhatsApp networks. Tariq, R., Zolkepli, I. A., & Ahmad, M. (2022). In Hong Kong, the 2014 Umbrella Movement mobilized university students from Admiralty and Mong Kok after Beijing’s 31 August decision curbing electoral reforms, showcasing the role of hashtags like #Umbrella Revolution in sustaining global attention. Javed Iqbal, Hussain, S., & Iqbal, N. (2022). Throughout Africa, youth activism intensified when the #Fees Must Fall movement erupted in South Africa in October 2015, with students at University of the Witwatersrand and Cape Town pressuring the government to reconsider tuition hikes. In Nigeria, the #End SARS movement reached its peak in October 2020 with tens of thousands rallying at the Lekki Toll Gate in Lagos, protesting police brutality and spreading live updates through Instagram and Twitter even after the tragic 20 October 2020 shooting incident. Atif Ur Rehman. (2025).

In Latin America, Chilean youth sparked the 2019 Santiago protests, beginning at Plaza Baquedano on 18 October 2019, demanding constitutional reforms and using Telegram channels to organize citywide demonstrations. Meanwhile, in Brazil’s 2013 “Vem Pra Rua” protests, young Brazilians in São Paulo and Rio de Janeiro mobilized against fare hikes and political corruption, amplifying the demand for reforms through Facebook events. Social media also reshaped electoral participation, as seen in the 2018 Malaysian general elections, where young voters in Kuala Lumpur played a decisive role in ending six decades of Barisan Nasional rule, largely due to viral anti-corruption campaigns on WhatsApp and YouTube. In

Indonesia, the 2019 Jakarta student protests against the revised Corruption Law saw thousands of youth gathering outside the Senayan Parliament Complex after calls to mobilize went viral on Twitter under #Reformasi Dikorupsi. Zainurin, S. J., Wan Husin, W. N., & Zainol, N. M. (2024). Across Bangladesh, the 2018 Road Safety Movement, led primarily by high-school and university students in Dhaka, used social media livestreams to expose traffic inefficiencies and governmental negligence after the tragic 29 July 2018 bus accident. In many developing democracies, platforms like TikTok further accelerated political influence during the 2020s, particularly in the Philippines, where the presidential elections of May 2022 witnessed millions of young voters shaping narratives around candidates such as Leni Robredo and Ferdinand Marcos Jr. through creative political videos and meme-based activism. Safdar, G., Abbasi, A. B., Abbasi, U., & Gharbi, K. (2025). In Sri Lanka, youth-driven social media campaigns were central to the 2022 “Aragalaya” movement, culminating in mass protests at Galle Face Green, Colombo, and the storming of the presidential residence on 9 July 2022, forcing political accountability amid economic collapse. Rahmouni, L. (2025). In Africa again, Kenyan youth played a critical role in the 2022 elections, especially in Nairobi’s Mathare and Kibera, where WhatsApp community groups were used to verify polling data and counter disinformation.

Youth influence also expanded into policymaking, such as during Ghana’s #FixTheCountry protests of 2021, where consistent Twitter pressure compelled parliamentary committees to review taxation and unemployment policies. Abdulhafith, A. (2024). Across the developing world, young activists increasingly shift from street mobilization to structured policy engagement, forming digital advocacy organizations like Kenya’s Siasa Place (founded 2015 in Nairobi), Pakistan’s Digital Rights Foundation (Lahore, 2012), and India’s Youth Ki Awaaz (New Delhi, 2008), which train thousands in online civic participation. By the mid-2020s, youth in Mexico City, Lagos, Karachi, Dhaka, Nairobi, and Jakarta began influencing public budgets and legislative debates through e-petitions, online town halls, and data-driven policy proposals. Queen, A., Waheed, M., & Bidin, R. (2025). The cumulative impact of these movements from the early 2011 revolutions to the 2022 economic protests—illustrates how young citizens in developing democracies now leverage digital tools not only for mobilization but also for durable policy influence, reshaping governance, accountability, and democratic participation across continents.

Theoretical Framework

The theoretical understanding of youth political participation in developing democracies is most effectively grounded in three interlinked frameworks: Political Participation Theory, Digital Democracy Theory, and Mobilization Theory, each providing a distinct yet complementary explanation of how young citizens engage with politics in the twenty-first century. Political Participation Theory, rooted in the works of scholars after the 1960s modernization wave, argues that youth involvement increases when social, educational, and economic conditions improve, and when democratic systems offer transparent, accessible channels for expression; in countries such as India, Pakistan, Nigeria, Indonesia, and Brazil, young people turned to political engagement not only through voting but also through online campaigns as socioeconomic transformations and rapid urbanization expanded their access to information and civic awareness. This theoretical lens helps interpret why rising literacy in Karachi, improved university enrollment rates in Lagos, or the growth of middle-class youth in Jakarta have corresponded with more assertive political expression both online and offline, reflecting a transition from passive citizenship to active democratic participation. The second lens, Digital Democracy Theory, suggests that the emergence of technological spaces—Facebook, Twitter, WhatsApp, TikTok, YouTube, and Instagram—has created entirely new arenas of political engagement outside traditional party structures, parliamentary institutions, or elite-dominated decision-making platforms; under this framework, youth in Dhaka, Nairobi, Manila, Cairo, Santiago, and Johannesburg use digital tools to bypass censorship, criticize leaders, share political grievances, crowd source ideas, and build alternative discourses on governance, transparency, and accountability. This theory directly aligns with the title's emphasis on social media and digital engagement by conceptualizing the online sphere as a democratizing force that weakens hierarchical political systems and empowers youth to articulate demands without relying on top-down structures. Furthermore, Digital Democracy Theory explains why developing democracies characterized by weak institutions, corruption, and limited political inclusion have witnessed a surge in youth-led digital activism, where the algorithm-driven visibility of posts enables young voices to influence public narratives, shaping electoral debates and pressuring governments to respond to youth concerns. The third lens, Mobilization Theory, provides a dynamic explanation for how collective action becomes possible in environments where youth previously lacked organizational capacity; according to this theory,

digital communication reduces mobilization costs, accelerates information flows, and allows geographically dispersed young populations across South Asia, Africa, and Latin America to form temporary or long-term political communities. Mobilization Theory clarifies how hashtags such as #EndSARS in Lagos, #FeesMustFall in Cape Town, #ReformasiDikorupsi in Jakarta, or #JusticeForNirbhaya in New Delhi rapidly evolved from online conversations into large-scale street demonstrations, illustrating how youth convert digital grievances into physical, coordinated political action. It also explains why spontaneous youth movements, once difficult to organize due to resource constraints, now emerge within hours in Islamabad's D-Chowk, Manila's Luneta Park, Cairo's Tahrir Square, or Mexico City's Zócalo, where digital networks substitute for traditional leadership structures. Together, these three theoretical frameworks guide the interpretation of youth activism by showing how socioeconomic change increases youth readiness to participate, how digital platforms create alternative democratic arenas, and how online networks turn individual frustrations into collective political outcomes. Applied to the title "Youth Political Participation in Developing Democracies: Social Media, Mobilization, and Policy Influence," these theories reveal that rising youth engagement is not accidental but the result of deeper structural transformations, where modernization provides motivation, digital democracy provides platforms, and mobilization mechanisms convert digital expression into tangible political influence. Ultimately, this integrated theoretical approach helps explain not only how youth participate, but why their participation in developing democracies has become more influential, disruptive, and transformative in shaping contemporary political landscapes.

Literature Review

Digital Participation

International scholarship from 2012 to 2024 demonstrates a paradigm shift in political participation driven by rapid digital transformation. Researchers widely agree that social media platforms such as Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, WhatsApp, YouTube, and TikTok have become central tools for political awareness and civic engagement among youth populations. Nugroho, B. H. (2025). Studies across developing democracies point out that digital tool have democratized information flows by allowing young citizens to bypass state-controlled media, consume diverse political narratives, and express their views in decentralized online spaces. Wahyuningroem, S. L., et al. (2024). This body of literature consistently positions digital

technologies as catalysts for empowering youth in environments historically dominated by hierarchical political structures.

Social Media as an Enabler of Political Awareness and Civic Engagement

A major thematic cluster in the literature highlights how digital tools enhance youth political awareness by offering real-time updates, interactive communication, and broader access to political content. R. Ida et al. (2025). Scholars examining the post-2012 digital landscape observe that platforms such as Twitter and YouTube serve as spaces where political issues are simplified, explained, debated, and visually demonstrated to youth audiences. Research also identifies a noticeable increase in civic engagement triggered by digital activism, where youths mobilize during elections, protests, and policy debates. Tarsidi, D. Z. (2023). Across South Asia, Africa, and Latin America, studies show that digital participation enables youth to transition from passive observers to active political participants through online petitions, digital town halls, hashtag campaigns, and grassroots mobilization. Mohsin, M. R., Aamir, R. u. H., & Khan, S. (2025).

South Asian Scholarship: Youth-Centric Digital Campaigning in Pakistan and India

Literature on Pakistan and India emphasizes the growing reliance of political parties on youth-driven digital campaigns. Studies examining electoral cycles especially 2013, 2018, and 2024 elections in Pakistan and 2014 and 2019 elections in India highlight that parties like PTI, BJP, and Congress increasingly deploy data analytics, influencer marketing, and digital volunteer networks to mobilize young voters. Maqsood, M., Gillani, S. F., & Bokhari, S. F. (2024). Scholars argue that the growth of urban middle-class youth, widespread smartphone penetration, and online political discourse have transformed parties' campaign strategies into youth-centered digital models. This research stream also notes how young people in cities such as Karachi, Lahore, Mumbai, and Delhi engage in political debates, participate in online rallies, and shape public opinion through sustained digital interaction. Anwar, S. (2024).

African Perspectives: Resistance Movements and Digital Counter-Narratives

African literature, particularly from Nigeria and Kenya, presents a contrasting but equally significant trajectory. Rather than focusing primarily on electoral campaigning, scholars examine digital resistance movements and the creation of online counter-narratives challenging state repression. Arrazak, M. A., & Adnan, M. F. (2024). The #EndSARS movement in Nigeria, youth-led anti-corruption campaigns in Kenya, and digital oversight during elections highlight

how youth employ social media to expose injustices, mobilize mass protests, and contest authoritarian practices. These studies underscore the role of digital tools in enabling youth to confront coercive state apparatuses and construct alternative political discourses outside formal political institutions. Tahat, O. M. (2025).

Identification of Limitations in Existing Literature

While most scholarship celebrates the empowering role of digital technologies, researchers also outline significant limitations and risks associated with youth digital participation. Alodat, A. M., Al Qora'n, L. F., & Abu Hamoud, M. (2023). Commonly discussed constraints include:

- **Misinformation and disinformation**, which distort political understanding and fuel polarization;
- **Digital divides**, especially between urban and rural youth, limiting equal access to political information;
- **Weak institutional responsiveness**, where governments fail to translate youth digital demands into concrete policy reforms;
- **Online harassment and surveillance**, which discourage political expression in restrictive environments.

This critical body of literature argues that despite digital platforms offering new opportunities, structural inequalities and state-centric barriers continue to restrict the transformative potential of youth activism.

The Research Gap: Policy Influence Remains Understudied

A consistent gap emerges across the reviewed literature: while researchers have extensively analyzed how youth engage digitally, far fewer studies investigate how such engagement influences public policy in developing democracies. Most existing literature focuses on mobilization, protests, or online discourse, but does not adequately address whether youth-led digital activism leads to:

- legislative change,
- institutional reform,
- increased accountability,
- or policy adaptation within state structures.

This gap is especially significant in political systems marked by patronage, bureaucratic inertia, or limited democratic consolidation.

Contribution of the Present Study

This paper addresses the observed research gap by providing comparative analytical insights across regions such as South Asia, Africa, and Latin America. It systematically examines the mechanisms through which youth digital activism transitions into policy influence, exploring pathways like agenda-setting, elite pressure, electoral outcomes, participatory governance, and long-term political engagement. By drawing from diverse case studies, this research advances theoretical and empirical understanding of youth political participation in developing democracies and contributes to emerging scholarship on the role of digital tools in shaping democratic transformation.

Historical Context of Youth Political Activism (2010–2024)

The Arab Spring of 2011 marked the first global demonstration of large-scale, social media-driven political mobilization, beginning in Tunisia in December 2010 after the self-immolation of Mohamed Bouazizi and spreading rapidly to Egypt, Libya, Bahrain, Yemen, and Syria through Facebook pages, YouTube videos, and Twitter hashtags that mobilized millions and transformed public squares such as Tahrir Square in Cairo into symbols of digitally coordinated resistance. This wave of youth-led mobilization set a precedent for how young citizens could use technology to challenge entrenched political structures, bypass censorship, and create transnational solidarity networks. In Pakistan, the country's significant youth bulge—where nearly 64% of citizens are under the age of 30—played a decisive role in shaping electoral politics, particularly during the 2013 and 2018 general elections, when cities like Karachi, Lahore, Rawalpindi, Peshawar, and Faisalabad witnessed unprecedented youth engagement in digital campaign spaces; political parties such as Pakistan Tehreek-e-Insaf (PTI) capitalized on Twitter trends, Facebook Live sessions, and viral campaign slogans to mobilize young voters and reshape traditional political communication strategies. Similarly, India experienced transformative youth activism through major protest cycles, beginning with the 2011 anti-corruption movement led by youth supporters of Anna Hazare's campaign at Ramlila Maidan in New Delhi, where digital platforms amplified anti-corruption discourse nationwide. Later, in 2019, youth-led demonstrations across Delhi, Bangalore, Kolkata, Mumbai, and Hyderabad opposed the Citizenship Amendment Act, with students from Jamia Millia Islamia and Jawaharlal Nehru University circulating videos, protest calls, and documentation of state responses through Twitter, Instagram, and WhatsApp, illustrating a powerful hybrid model of

digital-physical mobilization. Across Africa, youth movements leveraged social media to produce some of the most influential protest waves of the decade, notably the #FeesMustFall movement in South Africa (2015–2016) led by students at the University of the Witwatersrand, University of Cape Town, and Pretoria, which demanded affordable higher education and used hashtags, live streams, and online petitions to pressure state institutions. Likewise, Nigeria's #EndSARS protests of October 2020, centered in Lagos, particularly at the Lekki Toll Gate, showcased how young Nigerians mobilized millions online to oppose police brutality, using digital platforms to coordinate supplies, organize legal aid, document abuses, and attract international attention. These cases collectively illustrate a critical global shift in which digital platforms replaced traditional political intermediaries such as party workers, newspapers, and state broadcasters, granting youth unprecedented agency to shape narratives, coordinate collective action, and challenge state power. Across continents, from North Africa to South Asia to Sub-Saharan Africa, young citizens now participate in politics not merely as voters but as agenda-setters, organizers, and digital influencers, transforming political mobilization into a decentralized, youth-driven phenomenon that redefines the relationship between citizens and the state in developing democracies.

Social Media as a Catalyst for Youth Political Participation

a. Information Access and Political Awareness

Facebook, Twitter, TikTok, and YouTube democratized political information, reducing reliance on state-controlled media.

b. Opinion Formation and Narrative Building

Digital influencers, online campaigns, and political hashtags shape public narratives faster than traditional media.

c. Communication Between Youth and Political Leaders

Politicians increasingly use social media for messaging, interactive sessions, and youth outreach.

Mobilization Dynamics: From Online Activism to Street Politics

a. Hashtag Movements

Examples include Pakistan's #AuratMarch, India's #FarmersProtest, Indonesia's #ReformasiDikorupsi, and Nigeria's #EndSARS.

b. Online-to-Offline Mobilization

Youth use digital platforms for protest planning, fundraising, and crowd coordination.

c. Collective Identity Formation

Shared grievances and generational consciousness strengthen solidarity.

Policy Influence and Political Impact

a. Electoral Influence

Youth have reshaped campaign strategies, voter turnout, and digital political marketing (e.g., Pakistan 2018, Indonesia 2019).

b. Issue-Based Policy Pressure

Youth protests in India, Nigeria, Sri Lanka, and Bangladesh compelled governments to revise or reconsider policies.

c. Long-Term Institutional Impact

Youth-led activism has increased transparency debates, digital rights reforms, and public accountability demands.

Critical Evaluation

The Arab Spring (2011) Marked The First Global Demonstration Of Social Media-Driven Political Mobilization

The Arab Spring of 2011 became the earliest global example of how Facebook, Twitter, and YouTube could mobilize millions across borders. Beginning in Tunisia and spreading to Egypt, Libya, Yemen, and Syria, youth used digital platforms to coordinate protests and challenge authoritarian regimes. Spaces like Tahrir Square in Cairo turned into symbols of online-to-offline resistance. Social media replaced state-controlled information channels, enabling real-time citizen reporting. This movement demonstrated how digital tools could ignite political transformation across entire regions.

Pakistan's Youth Bulge Influenced Electoral Campaigns, Especially During The 2013 And 2018 Elections

Pakistan's large youth population played a central role in shaping electoral outcomes during the 2013 and 2018 general elections. Political parties, especially PTI, used social media campaigns, viral slogans, and youth-oriented digital strategies to mobilize first-time voters. Urban centers like Lahore, Karachi, and Islamabad witnessed unprecedented digital campaigning. Young voters relied on Facebook pages, Twitter trends, and YouTube speeches to form political opinions. These elections highlighted how Pakistan's youth shifted from passive observers to decisive political actors.

India's Youth-Led Anti-Corruption And Citizenship Protests (2011, 2019) Demonstrated Digital-Physical Hybridity

India's youth activism gained national prominence during the 2011 anti-corruption movement and the 2019 protests against the Citizenship Amendment Act. Students from universities like JNU, AMU, and Jamia Millia used social media to spread videos, mobilize crowds, and counter state narratives. Digital content such as live-streamed speeches and protest artwork circulated rapidly across platforms. Physical sit-ins in Delhi, Mumbai, and Kolkata were strengthened through online coordination. This hybrid activism showed how digital and street politics became deeply interconnected in India.

Africa Witnessed Powerful Youth Movements: #Feesmustfall In South Africa (2015) And #Endsars In Nigeria (2020)

Across Africa, youth movements used digital platforms to challenge structural injustices and state repression. South Africa's #FeesMustFall (2015-2016) mobilized students across Johannesburg, Cape Town, and Pretoria to demand accessible education. Nigeria's #EndSARS protests in 2020 used Twitter, Instagram, and WhatsApp to expose police brutality, especially at Lekki Toll Gate. Online documentation made state violence visible to global audiences. These movements demonstrated how African youth used digital tools to build solidarity and transform national debates.

Digital Platforms Replaced Traditional Political Intermediaries And Amplified Youth Agency

Digital platforms increasingly substituted newspapers, party workers, and broadcast media as the main channels for political communication. Youth now access political content directly through smartphones, bypassing traditional gatekeepers. Online spaces allow young citizens to express dissent, organize protests, and shape public discourse. Algorithms and viral content give youth voices unprecedented reach and visibility. As a result, digital technology amplifies youth agency, turning them into central actors in political mobilization.

Recommendations

For Governments

Expand digital literacy programs by integrating critical thinking, online verification skills, and responsible digital engagement into school and community training systems across developing regions.

- Ensure transparency and freedom of expression online by establishing fair digital regulations that prevent censorship, political surveillance, and unlawful shutdowns during protests or elections.
- Institutionalize youth advisory councils within national and local governments to give young citizens a permanent voice in policymaking and democratic reforms.
- Strengthen civic education from early schooling to build political awareness, democratic values, and responsible participation among the youth population.
- Increase youth representation in political parties through quotas, leadership training programs, and active party youth wings that encourage fresh political leadership.
- Enhance online safety mechanisms to protect young activists especially women and marginalized groups—from cyber bullying, harassment, and political intimidation.
- Use social media for policy consultations by enabling ministries and public institutions to conduct digital surveys, town halls, and interactive feedback sessions with youth.

For Political Parties

- Integrate youth leadership at decision-making levels
- Encourage active participation of youth in policy formulation
- Promote education and awareness on civic responsibilities
- Support youth-led initiatives and community projects
- Provide platforms for dialogue between youth and authorities
- Use social media ethically and responsibly
- Foster innovation, critical thinking, and entrepreneurial skills among young people

For Civil Society

- Strengthen youth training in advocacy and policy analysis
- Encourage youth participation in decision-making processes
- Promote leadership and mentorship programs
- Facilitate community engagement and social initiatives
- Promote safe digital spaces
- Use social media ethically and responsibly
- Foster innovation, critical thinking, and entrepreneurial skills among youth

For International Organizations

- Strengthen youth training in advocacy and policy analysis

- Encourage youth participation in decision-making processes
- Promote leadership and mentorship programs
- Facilitate community engagement and social initiatives
- Promote safe digital spaces
- Support youth-focused democratic capacity-building programs
- Foster innovation, critical thinking, and entrepreneurial skills among youth.

Conclusion

Youth political participation in developing democracies has entered a transformative phase driven primarily by the rise of digital communication, social media networks, and mobile technologies that have restructured how young citizens interact with politics, power, and public institutions across regions such as South Asia, Africa, Latin America, and the Middle East. In countries like Pakistan, India, Nigeria, Kenya, Brazil, Indonesia, and Egypt, young people armed with smartphones, affordable data, and access to global information flows are no longer passive recipients of political decisions but have evolved into active agenda-setters, issue framers, and public mobilizers capable of reshaping national debates and influencing policy outcomes. Social media platforms including Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, YouTube, WhatsApp, and TikTok have enabled youth to contest traditional hierarchies, bypass state-controlled media, expose governance failures, and build political pressure through viral campaigns, digital petitions, and online protest calls. This shift has been visible in major youth-driven movements such as Pakistan's digital electoral activism during the 2013 and 2018 elections, India's anti-corruption mobilization in 2011 and citizenship protests in 2019, South Africa's #Fees Must Fall (2015-16), and Nigeria's #EndSARS (2020), all of which demonstrate how online networks turn individual grievances into collective action with national and sometimes global resonance. Yet despite these unprecedented opportunities for political engagement, the potential of youth participation remains constrained by persistent inequalities, limited internet access in rural areas, low literacy rates, and widening digital divides that disproportionately exclude marginalized communities in regions such as Balochistan, Uttar Pradesh, northern Kenya, rural Ghana, and interior Peru. Weak democratic institutions, entrenched patronage systems, authoritarian surveillance practices, and manipulative political strategies—including misinformation, bot networks, and algorithmic propaganda further restrict the transformative democratic power of youth activism. As a result, digital participation often struggles to translate

into concrete policy influence, especially in states where decision-making remains tightly controlled by political elites, military establishments, or centralized bureaucracies. For youth empowerment to become sustainable, developing democracies must introduce integrated reforms that expand civic education, strengthen political party openness, enhance youth quotas, protect digital rights, regulate online misinformation, and create institutional channels such as youth councils, participatory governance mechanisms, and parliamentary youth committees that convert digital activism into formal policy engagement. Moreover, governments must invest in digital-democratic protection to prevent online harassment, political trolling, and state-led suppression of youth voices, ensuring that digital spaces remain safe arenas for free expression. Countries that manage to harness this rising wave of youth participation will not only strengthen political legitimacy by integrating the largest and most active demographic into state processes but will also enhance accountability, improve governance transparency, and ensure long-term democratic consolidation through inclusive, youth-driven political cultures. In this context, youth participation becomes more than a trend it emerges as a foundational pillar for shaping modern democratic trajectories in the Global South.

References

- Salam, Z., Jamil, M., & Muzaffar, M. (2024). The role of social media in political awareness and engagement among university students: A quantitative study. *Journal of Development and Social Sciences*.
- Tariq, R., Zolkepli, I. A., & Ahmad, M. (2022). Political participation of young voters: Tracing direct and indirect effects of social media and political orientations. *Social Sciences*, 11(2), 81.
- Javed Iqbal, Hussain, S., & Iqbal, N. (2022). Impact of social media and political participation on political efficacy of youth in Pakistan. *Journal of Mass Communication Department, University of Karachi*, 27(2).
- Atif Ur Rehman. (2025). Youth engagement in politics through social media: A study of political communication tactics targeting young voters. *Social Science Review Archives*, 3(3), 997-1012.
- Zainurin, S. J., Wan Husin, W. N., & Zainol, N. M. (2024). The role of social media in influencing youth political behavior: A systematic literature review. *Pakistan Journal of Life and Social Sciences*, 22(2).

- Safdar, G., Abbasi, A. B., Abbasi, U., & Gharbi, K. (2025). Role of social media in fostering youth activism in Pakistan's General Election 2024. *Jurnal Komunikasi: Malaysian Journal of Communication*.
- Rahmouni, L. (2025). Visual blogging and levels of political participation: A field study on a sample of Algerian youth. *ASJP*, 17(2), 67-85.
- Abdulhafith, A. (2024). Attitudes of Libyan youth towards political participation and its role in achieving sustainable development: A field study. *Abhat Journal*.
- Queen, A., Waheed, M., & Bidin, R. (2025). Enhancing youth political participation through social media exposure: An exploration of social exchange theory. *Quantum Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 6(2), 340-354.
- Nugroho, B. H. (2025). Digital activism and youth participation in Indonesia. *Priviet Social Sciences Journal*.
- Wahyuningroem, S. L., et al. (2024). Youth political participation and digital movement in Indonesia. *PMC Article*.
- R. Ida et al. (2025). Politics in Indonesia: Democracy, social networks and youth engagement. *Taylor & Francis Online*.
- Tarsidi, D. Z. (2023). Social media usage and civic engagement among Indonesian youth. *Journal of Civic Education & Media*.
- Mohsin, M. R., Aamir, R. u. H., & Khan, S. (2025). The effects of social media on political participation among youth: A case study of Sargodha City. *International Journal for Social Sciences*.
- Maqsood, M., Gillani, S. F., & Bokhari, S. F. (2024). Analyzing the cultivating impact of political awareness on the political participation and efficacy of Pakistani youth. *Annals of Human and Social Sciences*, 5(2), 586-595.
- Anwar, S. (2024). The role of social media infotainment in political polarization among youth in Pakistan. *Journal of Media Horizons*, 5(4), 332-339.
- Arrazak, M. A., & Adnan, M. F. (2024). The influence of social media usage and political trust on young voters' political participation in Padang City. *Jurnal ISO: Jurnal Ilmu Sosial, Politik dan Humaniora*, 5(1).
- Tahat, O. M. (2025). The use of social media and its relation to Jordanian university youth's engagement in political parties. *Dirasat: Human and Social Sciences*.

- Alodat, A. M., Al Qora'n, L. F., & Abu Hamoud, M. (2023). Social media platforms and political participation: A study of Jordanian youth engagement. *Social Sciences*, 12(7).